

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/052,342	01/18/2002	Herbert Lifka	NL 010033	5427
24737 75	4737 7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			ROMAN, ANGEL	
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2812	
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/052,342	LIFKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Angel Roman	2812			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	ctober 2003.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,4 and 13-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 5-12</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 January 2002</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority</li></ul>					
application from the International Bureau		eu in triis National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ` ' / /	ed.			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78.					
$oxedsymbol{oxed}$ a) $oxedsymbol{\Box}$ The translation of the foreign language pro					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti reference was included in the first sentence of th					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 02</li> </ul>	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			
-, 23 morniation processing statement(s) (F10-1443) Faper No(S) 04					

Application/Control Number: 10/052,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Specie I in Paper No. 10202003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the device and the method for making it are so closely related that searching both the device and the method would not impose an undue burden on the Examiner and that the restriction requirement may only be used to restrict respective embodiments of two different inventions, not claims that refer to different figures that are used to explain the same invention. The arguments are not found persuasive because the restriction requirement is an election of species between two patentable distinct groups of method claims, not between a device and a method for making the device as stated by Applicants; furthermore while figures 4 and 6 refer to the device shown in figure 1 the figures also describe different manufacturing methods for the same device (see Specification, page 5, lines 9-34).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 1, 2 and 5-12 are allowed.

3. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Page 3

- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record either singularly or in combination failed to anticipate or render obvious the limitations of coupling the electric connection contacts to the conductor pattern, and subsequently separating the semiconductor devices from the semiconductor substrate as required by claim 1.
- 5. This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 3, 4 and 13-21 to an invention non-elected with traverse in Paper No. 10202003.

  Applicant is suggested to cancel the noted claims or take other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144). Failure to take action will be treated as authorization to cancel the noted claims by Examiner's Amendment and pass the case to issue. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) will not be permitted since this application will be passed to issue.

The prosecution of this case is closed except for consideration of the above matter.

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters indicated above.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,342

Art Unit: 2812

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex

parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO** 

MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Angel Roman whose telephone number is (703) 306-

0207. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on (703) 308-3325. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

For inquiries directed to the examiner or examiner's supervisor after 2 February

2004 call Angel Roman at (571) 272-1681 or John Niebling at (571) 272-1679.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

1782.

AR

January 9, 2004

/ John F. Niebling

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800 Page 4